

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,793	11/02/2001	Jacobus Christianus Johannes Stickema	O/97277 US/D1	3402	
75	90 03/26/2003				
WILLIAM M. BLACKSTON			EXAMINER		
AKZO NOBEL PATENT DEPARTMENT SUITE 206 1300 PICCARD DRIVE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850		DI NOLA BARON, LILIANA		•	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
ACCIT IDEE,			1615		•

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 10/005.793 JOHANNES STIEKEMA ET AL. **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 1615 Liliana Di Nola-Baron --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 05 March 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other:

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 11-18.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

application in condition for allowance because: _____.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 11, 12, 15, 16, adding the limitation "chronic, intermittent" to the extracorporeal blood treatment undergone by the patient requires further consideration and possibly a new search.

In response to Applicant's argument, that the claimed method is repeated at intervals dictated by the extent of the patient's disease and the claimed dosages are the dosages required for each treatment and are not daily dosages, it is noted that the daily dosage disclosed by the prior art is identical to Applicant's claimed dosage for each treatment, which can be a daily treatment.

In response to Applicant's argument, that the prior art does not suggest coagulation induced by contact with synthetic surfaces, it is noted that Applicant's claims read on methods of preventing clotting, with no reference to coagulation induced by contact with synthetic surfaces

Long

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600